



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

74

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/634,670	08/06/2003	Tsung Hsi Liu	JP3006-US-0419	7161
7590	06/02/2005		EXAMINER	
Tsung Hsi Liu 235 Chung - Ho Box 8-24 Taipei Hsien, TAIWAN			SAFAVI, MICHAEL	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		3673		
		DATE MAILED: 06/02/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/634,670	LIU, TSUNG HSI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	M. Safavi	3673	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 March 2005.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 2 and 5-7 is/are pending in the application.
 - 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 2 and 5-7 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

The indicated allowability of claim 2 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Steensen and James et al. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2 and 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 2 recites, as at lines 7-8, "only one tubular air cell is added at one side of the mattress body." However, lines 2-3 of claim 2 recite, "at least one lateral side of the body being added with tubular air cells". It is therefore, not clear as to how the mattress body can have a plurality of tubular air cells along a side thereof if and when the mattress body has "only one tubular air cell is added at one side of the mattress body." The metes and bounds of the language of claim 2 can thus, not be ascertained.

Claim 5 should begin with an indefinite article. Otherwise, is claim 5 directed to a known mattress with the recitation of "The width adjustable...mattress"? The specific limitation to "the four tubular air cells", recited in line 7 of claim 5, does not find antecedent basis within the claim. Line 11, "the second air valve" does not find antecedent basis within the claim. The specific limitation to "the fourth tubular air cells", recited in lines 20-21 of claim 5, does not find antecedent basis within the claim. Line

21, to what does "fifth tubular air cells" refer? Otherwise, the specific limitation to "fifth tubular air cells", recited in line 21 of claim 5, does not find antecedent basis within the claim. Further, it is not clear as to how "at least one fourth tubular air cell and at least one fifth tubular air cell [are] added longitudinally at two opposite sides of the four tubular air cells." Firstly, it is not clear as to what "the four tubular air cells" refer. And, how are a fourth tubular air cell and a fifth tubular air cell added longitudinally at two opposite sides thereof? The metes and bounds of the language of claim 2 can thus, not be clearly ascertained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Steensen. Steensen discloses, Figs. 1, 2, 8, and 9, an air inflation mattress having a body, at least one-lateral side of the body being added with tubular air cells 32/34, 33/35 for increasing a width of the mattress with inflation of the tubular air cell being controlled by

air valves 86 wherein when the tubular air cells are inflated the width of the mattress body is increased. Only one tubular air cell 36 or 40, for example, is added at one side of the mattress body.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by James et al. James et al. discloses, Figs. 1-5, an air inflation mattress having a body, at least one-lateral side of the body being added with tubular air cells 46, 46 for increasing a width of the mattress with inflation of the tubular air cell being controlled by air valves 128, 132 wherein when the tubular air cells are inflated the width of the mattress body is increased. Only one tubular air cell 46 for example, is added at one side of the mattress body.

Claims 5-7 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Safavi whose telephone number is (571) 272-7046. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thur., 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Shackelford can be reached on (571) 272-7049. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



MICHAEL SAFAVI
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 354

M. Safavi
May 25, 2005